



# MPW – Steward Throws in the Towel

It'd be funny if it weren't so tragic.

## PLEASE READ IMPORTANT DISCLAIMER – PAGE 4

**July 30, 2024** – Well folks there it is. On July 26, 2024, Steward Health Care threw in the towel and called time on Master Lease II over 8 MPW hospitals in Massachusetts.

These hospitals were contributed by MPW into the seemed-like-a-great-idea-at-the-time joint venture with Macquarie which the company touted as validating its absurd valuations. The irony of using a deal that helped drive its tenant into bankruptcy as an example of business sustainability is not lost on us.

The key takeaways from the filing are damning for MPW.

1. No bidder was willing to assume Master Lease II under its current terms.
2. No bidder put in a bid for the entire enterprise (real estate included) that even came close to the value of the real estate implied by the lease.
3. Every bidder requested significant rent concessions or a significant discount to acquire the property.
4. No actionable bids were received for the Carney and Nashoba Valley hospitals.

7. With respect to the Massachusetts Hospitals, the Debtors did not receive any bids that provide for a bidder to assume Master Lease II or acquire the underlying real estate at a value that exceeds the “lease base” under Master Lease II for such hospital. Rather, the bids received contemplate a purchase price for the total hospital enterprise (*i.e.*, inclusive of real estate and operations) that are significantly less than the value of the real estate implied by the rent obligations and the lease base under Master Lease II.<sup>67</sup>

8. Therefore, there is no scenario in which a buyer of the Massachusetts Hospitals will assume Master Lease II or the rent obligations thereunder. Accordingly, the Debtors have filed this Motion to reject Master Lease II, relieve themselves of the burden of continuing to

<sup>5</sup> The only exceptions are that no actionable bids have been received for Carney Hospital and Nashoba Valley Medical Center, and the Debtors are seeking court authority to close such hospitals (the “Closing Massachusetts Hospitals”) pursuant to the Hospital Funding and Facility Closure Motion.

<sup>6</sup> Because the Master Lease II rents were negotiated and agreed to in a different market environment with different capitalization rates, and are higher than current market rates, every bidder for the Massachusetts Hospitals asked the MPT-Macquarie JV for significant rent concessions or to acquire the underlying real property at a significant discount to the MPT-Macquarie JV’s lease base.

<sup>7</sup> Because MPT’s and Macquarie’s investment in such real estate is substantially impaired, the Debtors have asked MPT and Macquarie to agree to certain concessions to allow the Debtors to transition the Massachusetts Hospitals to new operators. The Debtors have allowed for substantial engagement with the bidders to facilitate a transition, but have been stymied by MPT and Macquarie seeking to extract concessions to facilitate a consensual transition to date.

Figure 1 – Steward Emergency Motion

This completely validates Viceroy’s research, namely that MPW’s deals with Steward were blatantly uneconomic and unsustainable without a constant round-tripping of funds.

As we pointed out in our previous report into the Macquarie JV, it was a thinly veiled recapitalization of the properties designed to move debt off MPW’s balance sheet and book dubious revaluation gains. Instead MPW falsely asserted that the JV validated the value of these properties and by extension its entire book.



## The Recap Recap

The Macquarie JV had three principal goals:

1. Repay the ~\$900m July 2021 Interim Credit facility, which it had originated to purchase 5 South Florida hospitals leased to Steward
2. Move the ensuing debt off MPW's balance sheet, if only cosmetically
3. Book a dubious \$600m gain on sale to inflate its earnings
4. Artificially reduce its asset concentration to Steward, through its dilution of asset ownership

MPW contributed real estate valued at \$1.1b, Macquarie contributed \$400m in cash and the JV secured a \$919m mortgage from a consortium of Apollo, Athene and Aspen. This mortgage, MPW claimed, represented 55% of asset value.

Viceroy stated in our original report on the Macquarie JV that it appeared to be this mortgage representing 55% of asset value that MPW used to justify a \$600m gain on real estate and a property value of \$1.7b. MPW did not publish the agreement confirming the 55% figure.

### 2022 Activity

#### Macquarie Transaction

On March 14, 2022, we completed a transaction with Macquarie Asset Management ("MAM"), an unrelated party, to form a partnership (the "Macquarie Transaction"), pursuant to which we contributed eight Massachusetts-based general acute care hospitals that are leased to Steward, and a fund managed by MAM acquired, for cash consideration, a 50% interest in the partnership. The transaction valued the portfolio at approximately \$1.7 billion, and we recognized a gain on sale of real estate of approximately \$600 million from this transaction, partially offset by the write-off of unbilled straight-line rent receivables. The partnership raised nonrecourse secured debt of 55% of asset value, and we received proceeds, including from the secured debt, of approximately \$1.3 billion. We obtained a 50% interest in the real estate partnership valued at approximately \$400 million (included in the "Investments in unconsolidated real estate joint ventures" line of our consolidated balance sheets), which is being accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

Figure 2 – MPW 2022 10-K

The terms of the Master Lease II laid out by Steward in their filing show this is likely exactly what happened. Steward's filing states base annual rent obligations of \$114m at a current lease rate of 9.29%. Working backwards this results in a lease base of \$1.23b.

<b>Parties</b>	Certain affiliates of Steward Health Care System LLC ("Lessees") Certain affiliates of MPT Operating Partnership, L.P. ("Lessor")
<b>Lease Guaranty</b>	Steward Health Care System LLC guarantees all of the obligations of each lessee under Master Lease II.
<b>Commencement Date</b>	March 14, 2022 (the "Initial Commencement Date").
<b>Fixed Term</b>	Commencing on the Initial Commencement Date and ending at midnight on October 31, 2041 (the "Fixed Term").
<b>Extension Term</b>	One (1) 5-year extension option available on the same terms and conditions set forth in Master Lease II.
<b>Base Rent Obligations</b>	The current annual base rent payable under Master Lease II is approximately \$114 million
<b>Lease Base</b>	See Master Lease II, Schedule 3.1(a) for the lease base for each leased property as of the Initial Commencement Date.
<b>Current Lease Rate</b>	9.29%

Figure 3 – Steward Emergency Motion

This is substantially less than what MPW valued the property at in its books and suggests strongly to us that there is no basis for MPW's revaluation gains outside this purported 55% asset-to-loan value figure.

All this raises the question: did they conjure its \$600m revaluation gain from nowhere?



### They couldn't have picked a worse example

In its farcical lawsuit, MPW accused Viceroy of defamation for accusing it of purchasing hospital real estate at heavily inflated prices to support inflated lease bases and rents. In support of its position MPW leaned heavily on the Macquarie JV and its now dubious \$1.7 billion valuation:

25. MPT's underwriting model has been tested and proved. In 2022, for example, private equity firm Macquarie Asset Management ("Macquarie") acquired as part of a 50/50 partnership with MPT a portfolio of eight Massachusetts hospitals for a total purchase price of about \$1.7 billion. The transaction validated MPT's original underwriting: MPT had purchased these eight hospitals (plus a ninth) from hospital operator Steward Health Care System LLC ("Steward") in 2016 and 2018 for a combined cost of approximately \$1.3 billion, and had then leased the premises to Steward as operator. The properties had performed as hoped, yielding approximately \$475 million in income for MPT from 2016 to 2022, and then were sold at a healthy profit.

*Figure 4 – MPW v. Viceroy Research*

Steward's capitulation confirms that MPW's lawsuit against Viceroy, like its entire enterprise, is a sham built upon the lies and deceit of its corrupt and dishonest CEO and executive team. We don't think it's a coincidence that the best example MPW could find for its practices literally bankrupted the tenant.

The gig is up.

### What's next

For years MPW has touted its investments as safe due to the nature of their leases, cross-default clauses and their purported ability to re-tenant hospitals at similar lease terms. Clearly this is not the case.

It's clear that MPW's entire book must be impairment tested and is grossly overvalued. We believe the only reason the company appears to be refusing to offer rent concessions or discounts is that it would trigger a disastrous revaluation.

As you can imagine we have very little faith in MPW's auditors PWC who have presided over this mess since 2008. We hope this serves as an extremely late wake-up call. Investors should ask themselves how many other skeletons are hiding in the closets in Birmingham.





### **Attention: Whistleblowers**

Viceroy encourage any parties with information pertaining to misconduct within Medical Properties Trust, its affiliates, or any other entity to file a report with the appropriate regulatory body.

We also understand first-hand the retaliation whistleblowers sometimes face for championing these issues. Where possible, Viceroy is happy act as intermediaries in providing information to regulators and reporting information in the public interest in order to protect the identities of whistleblowers.

You can contact the Viceroy team via email on [viceroy@viceroyresearch.com](mailto:viceroy@viceroyresearch.com).

### **About Viceroy**

Viceroy Research are an investigative financial research group. As global markets become increasingly opaque and complex – and traditional gatekeepers and safeguards often compromised – investors and shareholders are at greater risk than ever of being misled or uninformed by public companies and their promoters and sponsors. Our mission is to sift fact from fiction and encourage greater management accountability through transparency in reporting and disclosure by public companies and overall improve the quality of global capital markets.

### **Important Disclaimer – Please read before continuing**

This report has been prepared for educational purposes only and expresses our opinions. This report and any statements made in connection with it are the authors' opinions, which have been based upon publicly available facts, field research, information, and analysis through our due diligence process, and are not statements of fact. All expressions of opinion are subject to change without notice, and we do not undertake to update or supplement any reports or any of the information, analysis and opinion contained in them. We believe that the publication of our opinions about public companies that we research is in the public interest. We are entitled to our opinions and to the right to express such opinions in a public forum. You can access any information or evidence cited in this report or that we relied on to write this report from information in the public domain.

To the best of our ability and belief, all information contained herein is accurate and reliable, and has been obtained from public sources we believe to be accurate and reliable, and who are not insiders or connected persons of the stock covered herein or who may otherwise owe any fiduciary duty or duty of confidentiality to the issuer. We have a good-faith belief in everything we write; however, all such information is presented "as is," without warranty of any kind – whether express or implied.

In no event will we be liable for any direct or indirect trading losses caused by any information available on this report. Think critically about our opinions and do your own research and analysis before making any investment decisions. We are not registered as an investment advisor in any jurisdiction. By downloading, reading or otherwise using this report, you agree to do your own research and due diligence before making any investment decision with respect to securities discussed herein, and by doing so, you represent to us that you have sufficient investment sophistication to critically assess the information, analysis and opinions in this report. You should seek the advice of a security professional regarding your stock transactions.

This document or any information herein should not be interpreted as an offer, a solicitation of an offer, invitation, marketing of services or products, advertisement, inducement, or representation of any kind, nor as investment advice or a recommendation to buy or sell any investment products or to make any type of investment, or as an opinion on the merits or otherwise of any particular investment or investment strategy.

Any examples or interpretations of investments and investment strategies or trade ideas are intended for illustrative and educational purposes only and are not indicative of the historical or future performance or the chances of success of any particular investment and/or strategy. As of the publication date of this report, you should assume that the authors have a direct or indirect interest/position in all stocks (and/or options, swaps, and other derivative securities related to the stock) and bonds covered herein, and therefore stand to realize monetary gains in the event that the price of either declines.

The authors may continue transacting directly and/or indirectly in the securities of issuers covered on this report for an indefinite period and may be long, short, or neutral at any time hereafter regardless of their initial recommendation.